



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/758,743 | 01/16/2004 | Andrzej Turski | MS305754.01/MSFTP532US | 6373 |
| 27195 | 7590 | 05/28/2009 | | |
| TUROCY & WATSON, LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | EXAMINER HEFFINGTON, JOHN M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2179 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/28/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com
lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/758,743 | Applicant(s) TURSKE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JOHN M. HEFFINGTON | Art Unit 2179 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN M. HEFFINGTON. (3) ____.

(2) Randy Palmer 61440. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 25, 28 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cowart (Mastering Windows 95), Ortega et al. (US 6,489,968 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed potential amendments to the claims to distinguish over the prior art of record and to resolve 35 USC 101 issues. In the event of submission of said discussed amendments, the examiner would be required to conduct further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|------------------|
| /Steven B Theriault/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179 | John Heffington/ |
|---|------------------|